

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/963,879	09/26/2001	Edmun ChianSong Seng	934.138US1	9207
75	90 05/14/2003			
Shawn B Dempster Seagate Technology LLC			EXAMINER	
Intellectual Prop	perty Dept - SHK2LG		CAO, TRANG H	
1280 Disc Drive Shakopee, MN 55379-1863			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2857	
			DATE MAILED: 05/14/2003	DATE MAILED: 05/14/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Offic Action Summary	09/963,879	SENG ET AL.
- One Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MALLINO DATE of this control of	Trang H. Cao	2857
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR A THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day:  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mayon. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of period will apply and will expire SIX (6) No statute, cause the application to become	thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	n 26 Sentember 2001	
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐		
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice undependent of Claims	allowance except for formal r	natters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the applie	cation	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	and a minimizer of the delication.	
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement	
Application Papers	eror orodion roquiroment.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abo	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a)  approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docur</li> </ol>	ments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received in	Application No
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a</li> </ul>	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	·	
a)  The translation of the foreign language 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor  Attachment(s)	e provisional application has	been received.
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.	3) 5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6. Patent and Trademark Office FO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Offi	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 7

Application/Control Number: 09/963,879

Art Unit: 2857

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-10 and 18-20, drawn to methods to determine time domain equalized signal-to-noise ratio of a mass storage device, classified in class 702, subclass 79.
  - II. Claims 11-17 and 21-24, drawn to a disc drive to perform a manufacturing quality assurance pass/fail test on an electronic device, classified in class 360, subclasses 41+.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus of group II could be used for different methods other than the methods of group I such as storing data of electric signals other than equalized signal-to-noise ratio.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 5. Applicant is also advised that:

-Application/Control Number: 09/963,879

Art Unit: 2857

- 5.1. If group I is elected, the group one is further required to elect one of two species:
  - a. The species exemplified by claims 1-10; or
  - b. The species exemplified by claims 18-20.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, it appears that no claim is generic in group I.

- 5.2 If group II is elected, the group II is further required to elect one of two species:
  - a. The species exemplified by claims 11-17; or
  - b. The species exemplified by claims 21-24.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, it appears that no claim is generic in group II.

- 5.3 Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 5.4 Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Application/Control Number: 09/963,879

Art Unit: 2857

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 5.5 Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trang H. Cao whose telephone number is (703) 305 4469. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00am to 4:30pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc S. Hoff can be reached on (703) 308 1677. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308 7382 for regular communications and (703) 308 7382 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2857

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306 3431.

TC

May 12, 2003

MARC S. HOF

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800